IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT APPEAL NO : 1223 of 2005

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated 21/06/2005 in WP NO : 5948 OF 2005 on the file of the High Court.)

Between:

Hereditary Honorary President & Correspondent of Sri Mandati Reddy Dora Educational Society (Sree Veera Hanman) Teacher Education Training Institute (A.P. S.No.1140 and APS 00830) Chintagunta Rural, Markapur Mandal, Prakasam Dist.by M.Thirupathi Reddy, r/o Chintagunta, Prakasam District.

..... APPELLANT

AND

1 The Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher
Education - C.S.D. Buildings, H.M.T. Post, Bangalore.

2 The Secretary to Government, Education (Training) Department,
Secretariat, A.P., Hyderabad - 22.
3 The Commissioner & Director, School Education, A.P. Hyderabad.
4 The Registrar, Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur District, A.P.
5 The Director In-Charge of Exams, School Education, Hyderabad, A.P.
6 The Director, S.C.E.R.T., Government of Andhra Pradesh, Aliya Compound,
OPP: L.B. Stadium, Hyderabad.
7 The Convenor Ed-CET (B.Ed) -2004, & Regional Director of School Education,
O/o. C & DSE, A.P., Hyderabad.
8 The State Convenor - LP CET -2004, O/o. Regional Joint Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Government Junior College Compound, Kachiguda, Hyderabad.
9 The Controller of Examinations, Nagarjuna University, Nagarjunanagar, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Appellants:MR.SADU RAJESWARA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.B.ADINARAYANA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 2,3,5,6,7,8: G.P. for School Education

Counsel for the Respondent Nos. 4 and 9: Mr. T.S. Harnath

The Court made the following :

ORAL JUDGMENT: (per the Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

This appeal has been filed against the order passed in Writ Petition No.5948 of 2005. The writ petition was filed praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1st respondent in the writ petition to grant recognition and permission to the petitioner-institution for the course of B.Ed. T.P.T., for the academic year 2004-2005. This direction was sought on the basis of an earlier direction given in Writ Petition No.3193 of 2004.

We have our own doubts whether the writ petition can be filed for the purpose of seeking enforcement of an order passed in an earlier writ petition. The petitioner filed Writ Petition No. 3193 of 2004. The relief sought was again for recognition and permission to the course, i.e., Teachers Education Training (T.P.T.) and to B.Ed., course, by an application dated 21.1.2004. This writ petition came to be disposed of with a direction to the 1st respondent to consider the application of the petitioner-institution and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. However, for the same relief, the present writ petition is also filed.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents have not considered his application and therefore sought direction to the respondents to recognize the petitioner-institution and allot the candidates to B.Ed., T.P.T.

We wonder how could such a direction be given by this Court. The learned single judge found that once the Court granted direction for considering the applications, the 1st respondent issued a letter to the writ petitioner on 15.4.2005 pointing out certain deficiencies. Those deficiencies were not removed and nothing was done in pursuance to the letter written by the 1st respondent to the petitioner on 15.4.2005. Since the appellant-writ petitioner had not complied with the requirements communicated to him by the 1st respondent vide letter dated 15.4.2005, therefore, its application was rejected.

In our view, there was nothing wrong in rejecting the application as petitioner had not satisfied the conditions necessary for recognition.

We do not find any merit in the writ appeal. The writ appeal is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

BILAL NAZKI, ACJ

DATE: 4th July, 2005

_____

G. CHANDRAIAH,J

pnb

To

1 The Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, National Council for Teacher Education - C.S.D. Buildings, H.M.T. Post, Bangalore.

2 The Secretary to Government, Education (Training) Department, Secretariat, A.P., Hyderabad - 22.
3 The Commissioner & Director, School Education, A.P. Hyderabad.
4 The Registrar, Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur District, A.P.
5 The Director In-Charge of Exams, School Education, Hyderabad, A.P.
6 The Director, S.C.E.R.T., Government of Andhra Pradesh, Aliya Compound, OPP: L.B. Stadium, Hyderabad.
7 The Convenor Ed-CET (B.Ed) -2004, & Regional Director of School Education, O/o. C & DSE, A.P., Hyderabad.
8 The State Convenor - LP CET -2004, O/o. Regional Joint Director of School Education, Hyderabad, Government Junior College Compound, Kachiguda, Hyderabad.
9 The Controller of Examinations, Nagarjuna University, Nagarjunanagar, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.

10 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for School Education, Government of A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)

11 Two C.D. copies